IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.R.UDAYABHANU

TUESDAY, THE 29TH MAY 2007 / 8TH JYAISHTA 1929

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 2086 of 2007()

CRA.122/2003 of II ADDL.SESSIONS COURT, KOLLAM
CC.739/2000 of JUDL.MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS-I, KOLLAM

.....

REVN. PETITIONER:

V. SURESH,
NIGESH NIVAS, NEELESWARAM P.O.
KOTTARAKKARA, KOLLAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.B.MOHANLAL

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. STANIL RIBELLOW, QUARTZ,
   PUNNAMUKKU,
   PERUMPUZHA P.O.,
   KOLLAM.

2. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
   HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.

   R2 BY P.P. SRI AMJAD ALI.

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 29/05/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

V. RAMKUMAR, J.

Crl. R.P. No. 2086 OF 2007 A

Dated this the 2nd day of August, 2007

O R D E R

The revision petitioner, who was the accused in C.C.No.177/1999 on the file of the JFCM-I, Chengannur for an offence punishable under section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, challenges the conviction entered and the sentence passed against him concurrently by the trial court for the said offence.

2. Pending this revision, the parties have settled the matter and have filed Crl.M.A.No.8427/2007 under section 147 of the Act for recording the composition entered into by them. The said petition has been signed by both the revision petitioner as well as the complainant and their respective counsels. The composition is recorded and it will have the effect of an acquittal within the meaning of section 320(8) Cr.P.C. Money, if any, paid by the revision petitioner pursuant to orders, if any, passed by the lower appellate court shall be refunded to the revision petitioner.

This revision petition is disposed of as above.

(V. RAMKUMAR, JUDGE)

aks